

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/892,712	06/28/2001	Uwe Anthes	MERCK-1991 D1	5769
23599	7590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
SUITE 1400	NDON BLYD,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1732	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/892,712	ANTHES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 31-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-33,35-37,39-41 an 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 34,38 and 42-52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>d 53-55</u> is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/01.     </li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:	te stent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/892,712

Art Unit: 1732

...

1.Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 34, 38 and 42-52 in the reply filed on April 26, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are related as combination and subcombination. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are not related as combination/subcombination, but rather as process of making and product made. Even though the product claims are recited in product-by-process language, if the product can be made by other methods, then the inventions are submitted to be properly restricted. Of course, when, and if, the product claims are allowed, the process of making such an allowable product would be rejoined.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 34, 38 and 42-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dombrowski et al (see col. 2, line 36 through col. 3, line 12; col. 3, lines 41-67; Example 1).

The applied reference discloses the instant optical substrate coated with an organosilicon water-repellant coating as set forth in the instant claims. Note that the method by which the coating is applied using a porous inorganic matrix into which the

Art Unit: 1732

coating material is impregnated followed by a vapor deposition of the coating in a vacuum chamber is also disclosed by the applied reference. Although there are slight differences in the method, such as the use of a conductive inorganic matrix and a binder, it is submitted that the coating produced from the impregnated matrix is the same and hence the product produced would also be the same as the instant. The patentability of product claims, even if set forth in product-by-process language, is dependent on the structural or compositional characteristics of the product. In the instant case, it is submitted that the there is in fact no difference between the coated optical substrate of Dombrowski et al and the instant, as least none that is readily discernible. In view of this, it is submitted that the instant claims are properly anticipated by Dombrowski et al.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,712

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot July 26, 2004 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

7/26/04